# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 2, 2018

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Louisville District, Indianapolis Regulatory Office, LRL-2016-481, I69 Section 6 Segment 2 Morgan and Marion Counties non-regulated

Of	fice, LRL-2016-481, I69 Section 6 Segment 2 Morgan and Marion Counties non-regulated
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Indiana County/parish/borough: Morgan and Marion City: Martinsville and Indianapolis Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.497314°N, Long. 86.338642°W Universal Transverse Mercator: 16S 556865.38 4372175.19
	Name of nearest waterbody: White River Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: White RIver Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05120201 (Upper White)
	<ul> <li>Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.</li> <li>Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form</li> </ul>
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination.  Pate(a):  November 21, 2017, November 22, 2017
SE	Date(s):  CCTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Th	ere are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: Click here to enter text.
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the view area. [Required]
	<ol> <li>Waters of the U.S.</li> <li>a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1</li> </ol>
	TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months). LRL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional

LRL-2016-481	I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional
☐ Nor	n-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
☐ We	etlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
☐ We	etlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
☐ We	etlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
☐ Imp	poundments of jurisdictional waters
	lated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
b. Ide	entify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
No	on-wetland waters: # linear feet: # width (ft) and/or # acres.
We	fetlands: # acres.
c. Li	mits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Choose an item.
Eleva	ation of established OHWM (if known): <i>Click here to enter text</i> .

### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: A total of 15 roadside ditches totaling 6,980 linear feet and 18 ponds totaling 17.25 acres were excavated in uplands and drain only uplands. These features are identified in the table below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F. LRL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional

LRL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional

ID	1 maria - J.	Lamaii d	Daring	length (linear	Size					
ID	Latitude	Longitude	Regime	feet)	(acre)					
666027	20 44056		dside Ditches	110						
S6S037	39.44956	-86.3862	Intermittent	118						
S6S043b	39.45779	-86.3786	Ephemeral	868						
S6S049a-b	39.47888	-86.366	Ephemeral	345						
S6S065a	39.51648	-86.302	Ephemeral	165						
S6S089a	39.56305	-86.2482	Ephemeral	651						
S6S091a-c	39.56477	-86.2443	Ephemeral	413						
S6S106a	39.69226	-86.1945	Ephemeral	155						
S6W066	39.49889	-86.3757	Ephemeral	1,391						
S6W071	39.51019	-86.3176	Ephemeral	509						
S6W103	39.66932	-86.1961	Ephemeral	284						
S6W116	39.70158	-86.1499	Ephemeral	331						
S6W127	39.69263	-86.2407	Ephemeral	957						
S6W132	39.456	-86.3809	Ephemeral	581						
S6W135	39.51498	-86.3049	Ephemeral	155						
S6W136	39.51559	-86.3027	Ephemeral	117						
Ponds										
S6W050	39.45987	-86.3764	Open Water		0.48					
S6W051	39.46022	-86.376	Open Water		0.39					
39.46054 -86.37		-86.3757	Open Water		0.35					
S6W088	39.59147	-86.2311	Open Water		0.13					
S6W089c	39.60867	-86.2176	Open Water		0.60					
S6W090	39.6098	-86.2143	Open Water		0.74					
S6W097	39.65189	-86.2027	Open Water		2.05					
S6W100	39.66047	-86.1978	Open Water		5.25					
S6W101	39.66122	-86.1954	Open Water		1.82					
S6W102	39.66311	-86.2015	Open Water		0.47					
S6W111	39.69756	-86.1834	Open Water		0.55					
S6W114	39.69994	-86.1575	Open Water		0.90					
S6W115	39.69931	-86.1538	Open Water		0.23					
S6W118	39.45722	-86.3804	Open Water		0.095					
S6W119	39.69616	-86.1889	Open Water		0.083					
S6W120	39.69954	-86.1765	Open Water		1.64					
S6W123	39.59244	-86.2291	Open Water		0.16					
S6W125	39.61504	-86.2148	Open Water		1.30					

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

### 1. TNW

Identify TNW: Click here to enter text.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: *Click here to enter text*.

### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": Click here to enter text.

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: # Choose an item. Drainage area: # Choose an item.

Average annual rainfall: # inches Average annual snowfall: # inches

### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West. LRL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional

L-2016-481 169 Segment 2 non jurisdictional
(a) Relationship with TNW:
☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
☐ Tributary flows through <i>Choose an item</i> . tributaries
Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . river miles from TNW.
Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . river miles from RPW.
Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Click here to enter text.
Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> : <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
Tributary stream order, if known: Click here to enter text.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
Tributary is:  Natural
Artificial (man-made). Explain: Click here to enter text.
☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. LRL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional

LRL-2016-481 I69	Segment 2 non jurisdictional <b>Tributary</b> properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: # feet  Average depth: # feet  Average side slopes: Choose an item.								
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  ☐ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete ☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck ☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: Click here to enter text. ☐ Other. Explain: Click here to enter text.								
enter text.	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: <i>Click here to</i> Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .								
	Tributary geometry: <i>Choose an item</i> .  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): #%								
`,	Flow: Tributary provides for: <i>Choose an item</i> . Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: <i>Choose an item</i> . Describe flow regime: <i>Click here to enter text</i> . Other information on duration and volume: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .								
	Surface flow is: Choose an item. Characteristics: Click here to enter text.								
	Subsurface flow: Choose an item. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.  Dye (or other) test performed: Click here to enter text.  text.								
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  ☐ Bed and banks ☐ OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):								
	clear, natural line impressed on the bank								
	□ changes in the character of soil□ destruction of terrestrial vegetation □ shelving □ the presence of wrack line								
	vegetation matted down, bent, sediment sorting or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed scour								
	away    sediment deposition   multiple observed or predicted flow events     abrupt change in plant community Click here to								
	enter text.								
	□ other (list): <i>Click here to enter text</i> . □ Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .								
(check all that	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction								
(check all that	□ High Tide Line indicated by: □ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

LRL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional	
oil or scum line along shore objects	□ survey to available datum;
fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	□ physical markings;
physical markings/characteristics	□ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
□ tidal gauges	
other (list): Click here to enter	r text.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:	
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is cl	lear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: *Click here to enter text*.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: *Click here to enter text*.

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water colo general watershed characteristics; etc.). Edentify specific pollutants, if known: <i>Click h</i>	•
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland sup  ☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, avoid vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: ☐ Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain find ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: C ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive specient Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	verage width): Click here to enter text.  Click here to enter text.  lings: Click here to enter text.  lick here to enter text.  es. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
RL-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional	

### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: *Choose an item*. Approximately (#) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (	Y/N) Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y	/N) Size (in acres)
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: *Click here to enter text*.

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: # linear feet # width (ft), Or, # acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: # acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	<ul> <li>Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: <i>Click here to enter text</i>.</li> <li>Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: <i>Click here to enter text</i></li> </ul>
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: # acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: Click here to enter text.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  \[ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	directly of directly of directly of a TNW exus with a HII.C.	rectly or a TNW or s with a '	W or a	r an R	RPW	V, bu	t flov	vs dir	rectl	•		•			
<ul> <li>□ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.</li> <li>□ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Click here to enter text.</li> <li>□ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abuttin RPW: Click here to enter text.</li> <li>Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirect TNWs.</li> <li>□ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, hav significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>□ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, hav significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup></li> <li>As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a</li></ul>	rs: # linear and waters	# linear f l waters:	ar feet ers: # a	eet # v # acre	widtl es.	th (ft)	).		w ar	ea (ch	eck a	ll that	t app	ly):	
<ul> <li>5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirect TNWs.</li> <li>  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, hav significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, hav significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup></li> <li>As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.</li> <li>  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or</li> <li>  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented</li> <li>  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).</li> <li>E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAPPLY):<sup>10</sup></li> </ul>	abut RPW abutting and rationale ext. tly abutting dicating the above. Property above.	t RPW a abutting ationale it abutting ating tha ating tha pove. Pro	V and ing an ale indicated indicated indicated indicated indicated in the control of the control	nd thus an RP ndicate dicate an RP tribut	us are PW v ating ting tl PW v utary	e juri where that where where is see	isdict e tribu tribu wetlan e trib	ional utarion tary indis is utarion utarion ali in	l as a es ty is pe directed to the directed to	ndjace picall crennic ctly al picall cion II	nt we y flow al in S buttin y flow I.B ar	tlands v year Sectio g an l v "sea nd rat	s. r-rou on III RPW asona ional	ndD.2, ': <i>Click</i> ally." e in	
TNWs.  ☐ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, hav significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.  Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.  6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, hav significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.  Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.  7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  ☐ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  ☐ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented  ☐ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAPPLY):¹0	timates for	ates for j	or juri	ırisdic	ictior	nal w	vetlar	ds in	the	revie	w are	a:# a	cres.		
<ul> <li>6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>□ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).</li> <li>E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAPPLY):10</li> </ul>	ot directly they are ad vith a TNW	directly a y are adj a TNW	ly abu adjace	out an	n RP	W, t	out w	hen c	eonsi situa	idered	in co	mbin nt wet	ation tland	n with t s, have	the
<ul> <li>□ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.</li> <li>Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9         <ul> <li>As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented</li> <li>□ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).</li> </ul> </li> <li>E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAPPLY):<sup>10</sup></li> </ul>	ates for juri	for juris	ırisdic	diction	onal v	wetla	ands i	n the	rev	iew aı	rea: #	acres	<b>.</b>		
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  □ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  □ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented  □ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL TH APPLY):  10	to such wa they are ad vith a TNW	such wat y are adj a TNW	waters adjace	ers, an cent a	nd ha and v	ave with	when simi	consi	idere situa	ed in o	combi djace:	nation	n wit tland	s, have	e a
As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL TH APPLY): 10	urisdiction	dictiona	onal w	wetla	lands	s in tl	he rev	iew :	area	: # ac	res.				
WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL TH APPLY): <sup>10</sup>	mpoundme mpoundme vater meets	oundmen oundmer er meets	ment onent wets the	t of a the crit	a juris s crea riteria	ated 1 a for	from one o	"wate of the	ers c	of the egorie	U.S.,'s pres	or sented	1	al.	
	, DEGRAI CRCE, INC	EGRAD CE, INC	ADAT NCLU	ATIO LUDII	ON C ING	OR I	DEST Y SU	RUC CH V	CTIO WA'	ON O TERS	F WI S (CH	HICH ECK	I CO	ULD A L THA	ΛT

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

K.	L-2016-481 169 Segment 2 non jurisdictional
	□ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
	□ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
	□ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
	□ Other factors. Explain: Click here to enter text.
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Click here to enter text.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	□ Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).
	□ Other non-wetland waters: # acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
	□ Wetlands: # acres.

F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)	):
	☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.	
	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerced. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .	
	Other: (explain, if not covered above): The aquatic features were ditches excavated in uplands and draining only uplands.	f
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: # acres.	
	Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource: <i>Click here to enter text</i> Wetlands: # acres.	
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: # acres.	
	Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource: <i>Click here to enter text</i> Wetlands: # acres.	
CE		
	TION IV: DATA SOURCES.	
<b>A.</b>	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included a case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Click here to enter text.	d
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  □ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.	
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Click here to enter text.	
	Corps navigable waters' study: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .	
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: <i>Click here to enter text.</i> USGS NHD data.	
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Martinsville, Cope, Mooresville	
	East, Bargersville, Maywood	
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Martinsville, Cope, Mooresville East, Bargersville, Maywood quads	,
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Click here to enter text.	
	FEMA/FIRM maps: Click here to enter text.	
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: <i>Click here to enter text</i> . (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)	
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): <i>Click here to enter text</i> .	

LRI	L-2016-481 I69 Segment 2 non jurisdictional
	or <b>I</b> Other (Name & Date): <i>Click here to enter text.</i>
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
	Applicable/supporting case law: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
	Other information (please specify): <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
D	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT ID: Click have to enter text